

## Cadastral Subcommittee Meeting Minutes

**Mar. 9, 2004**

Meeting at Idaho Dept. of Water Resources – 8:30 am.

**Attendees:** Michael Ciscell (IDWR, Chair), Curtis Smith (NGS/IDOT), Duane Priest (GMI), Dave Gruenhagen (IDL), Dave Williamson (Kootenai Co.), Donna Fornshell (BoR), Nina Madry (BLM), Fred McDowell (BLM), Kevin (BLM), Nathan Bentley (Admin), Sheldon Bluestein (Ada Co.), Bruce Tuttle (IDWR)

- BLM statewide ownership mapping - Nina
  - Statewide coverage expected by Sept. 2004; Southern part of state should be sent to InsideIdaho in the next month or so. Updates will be sent as completed.
  - Federal ownership (BoR and USFS) providing updates
  - Needs a contact for N. Idaho
  - No power or administrative withdrawals - Nina proposes to do a withdrawal layer and mineral status layer
  - This may be able to be used by counties to update fed/state ownership
- BLM GCDB is now on Inside Idaho - Kevin
  - BLM sending polygon, region & corner coverages as completed
- BLM priorities for GCDB collection - Kevin
  - Trust Lands - subdividing further than QQ for Indian tracts
  - 20 additional protracted townships
  - Little updating of PCCS files to GMM
- Recording Fee proposal - Sheldon
  - Inconsistencies between and within a county as to distribution
  - What do we need to do to move this forward
    - Assessor's not terribly interested
    - Recorders are key
  - Who are the key players to support it?
  - Assessors (4/15/2004), Recorders/Auditors (6/2004), Realtors, Commissioners (IAC 6/2004) all need to be on board,
  - Sen. Bunderson, Malepeai, Davis, and Rep. Langhorst prospective advocates.
- Updating county GISDownload program
  - Jason will be going to each county in the near future to reload this program
- New digital counties - Michael/Others
  - Butte, Gooding, Lemhi have contracts with IDWR to digitize parcels
  - Counties charging, or not, for data. Inconsistent among counties
  - Franklin Co. has started digitizing parcels
- Developing a Control/PLSS Corner database - Michael/Tom/Curtis
  - MT will have a site shortly - minimum metadata required - Stu Kirkpatrick/RJ Zimmer (Lewis & Clark Co.)
  - Review of data needed
  - WA has a site – WDOT
- State Lands - Dave G.
  - Complete except for Priest Lake area and some smaller parcels

From the Idaho Geospatial Committee (IGC) meeting (03/09/2004), the Cadastral Committee has been charged with responding to a request from Sen. Bunderson for a defined standard of level/amount of personal data to be shared on parcel data sets. Some of this is defined in the Cadastral I-Plan as Core-Plus data...

***“Core-plus attributes are the attributes that add value to the parcel information and make the information more functional in many applications for the business processes. Core-plus attributes include Owner type (tribal, federal, state, county, local/municipal, private, non-profit, other, unknown), and Improved (whether the property has a structure or other development versus vacant and undeveloped, a Geometry Source Reference and date (survey, deed, etc.), an Owner Source Reference and data (conveyance document, plat, etc.), Owner Name for privately owned parcels, Assessment/Value information, Basis of Bearings, and assessment information metadata. Appropriate privacy policies regarding both intergovernmental and public availability of this data have yet to be developed and accepted, and will not be addressed in this Plan.”***

As you can read, we made a cut at what might be included and now its time to address the last sentence. What I find useful are a couple of things addressing privacy issues. In looking at Ada County's website at our meeting, I noticed that no name information was given on any page, only property address. Perhaps web apps could limit their content this way. If you want a name you can go to other websites (i.e. whitepages.com) to get this. The other is that we ask counties to provide only name/address info to 'qualified' agencies/individuals with a specific business need to contact these people. What qualified means is yet to be determined.

If any of you, or anyone you know have thoughts on this or would like to help me take a stab at what our reply to Sen. Bunderson is, let me know. I hope to have something to him and IGC by late April/early May.

Next meeting – tentatively April 20, 9:30 am – 12:00 pm.